On Optimal Heterogeneous Regenerating Codes

Krishna Gopal Benerjee and Manish K. Gupta, *Senior Member, IEEE*
Dhirubhai Ambani Institute of Information and Communication Technology Gandhinagar, Gujarat, 382007 India
Email: krishna_gopal@daiict.ac.in, mankg@computer.org

arXiv:1607.06313v1 [cs.IT] 21 Jul 2016

Abstract

Heterogeneous Distributed Storage Systems (DSSs) are close to the real world applications for data storage. Each node of the considered DSS, may store different number of packets and each having different repair bandwidth with uniform repair traffic. For such heterogeneous DSS, a failed node can be repaired with the help of some specific nodes. In this work, a family of codes based on graph theory, is constructed which achieves the fundamental bound on file size for the particular heterogeneous DSS.

Index Terms

Heterogeneous DSS, graphical construction, heterogeneous regenerating codes, repair bandwidth.

I. Introduction

DATA storage is a big challenge for mankind since ancient times. Recently emerged Cloud computing provides an excellent way to store the data in a Distributed Storage Systems (DSSs). In such a DSS, data file is stored on n distinct nodes in such a smart way that the complete file can be retrieved by connecting certain number of nodes. In the case of node failure, system has to repair the failed node by either generating functional equivalent of the data loss or by generating the exact data that was lost on that node. In order to provide reliability systems use either simple replication or MDS (maximum distance separable) erasure codes. Simple replication uses more space (so it is bad for storage minimization) and erasure MDS code approach is not efficient for bandwidth minimization in a node repair process. To optimize these conflicting parameters data storage and bandwidth, in a seminal paper Dimakis et. al [1] introduced family of codes called regenerating codes. These regenerating codes received attention in several papers [1–4].

Consider a DSS of total n distinct nodes. Homogeneous Regenerating codes are specified by the parameters $[n, k, d, \alpha, \beta, B]$, where B is the file size and α is the number of packets on each node. In

order to get a file, user has to connect k ($< n$) nodes out of total n nodes. The particular α and k are known as node storage capacity and reconstruction degree for the DSS [5]. In case of a node failure, data can be recovered by contacting d nodes and downloading β packets from each node. Thus total bandwidth for a repairing a node is $d\beta$, where d and β are known as repair degree and repair traffic respectively. By optimizing both α and β in different order, we get two kind of regenerating codes called Minimum Storage Regenerating (MSR) codes and Minimum Bandwidth Regenerating (MBR) codes. Many researchers constructed MBR and MSR codes using combinatorial designs, graphs, sequences and matrices etc [6, 7].

For (n, k) heterogeneous DSS with dynamic node storage capacity, repair traffic, repair degree and reconstruction degree, a fundamental bound is established in [8]. The computational complexity to calculate parameters, for the fundamental bound achieving codes, is very high. Hence, in this work, we consider a special case (considered in [9]) by choosing constant repair traffic and reconstruction degree. Further, we calculate relations on parameters of codes which achieves the bound. For the particular parameters, the optimal codes (the fundamental bound achieving codes) are constructed using graphs.

Organization: The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the model of heterogeneous DSS and collects the necessary background including fundamental bound on file size for the heterogeneous DSS. Conditions for the optimal codes are established in Section 3. Graphical construction of the family of such optimal codes is given in Section 4. Final section concludes the paper with general remarks.

II. Model

In heterogeneous DSS, a file is divided into encoded packets and the encoded packets are distributed among n distinct nodes U_i ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$) such that each node has storage capacity α_i and repair degree d_i. An user can reconstruct the file by downloading data from any k ($< n$) nodes. If a node U_i fails then data collector will download β packets from specific d_i nodes out of remaining $n - 1$ nodes. The particular d_i nodes are called helper nodes for the failed node U_i. In such a case, repair bandwidth for a node U_i is $\gamma_i = d_i\beta$.

An example of such heterogeneous DSS is illustrated in Figure 1. In this example, a file with size 3 ($= B$) is stored in ($n = 6, k = 2$) heterogeneous DSS with repair traffic β is 1. In the particular DSS, node storage capacity α_i is $2, 2, 2, 3, 2$ and 2 for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ (see Figure 1). Note that α_i = γ_i = d_i for each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$.

Again, a set of d_i helper nodes which are used for repairing the failed node U_i, is called as *surviving set*. Formally, surviving sets are defined as follows.



Fig. 1. A file is divided into 3 ($= B$) distinct coded packets x_1, x_2 and x_3 on field $\mathbb{F}_q$. These three packets are encoded into thirteen distinct packets and distributed in $(6, 2)$ heterogeneous DSS.

Definition 1. *(Surviving Set): In a (n, k) heterogeneous DSS, surviving set of a node U_i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ is a set of d_i nodes which are used for repairing the node U_i. Note that there could be several surviving sets for a given node U_i. Indexing all the distinct surviving sets by a positive integer ℓ_i, let us denote them by $S_i^{(\ell_i)}$ ($\ell_i = 1, 2, \ldots$). For a particular node U_i, number of distinct surviving sets are finite say τ_i then $\ell_i = 1, 2, ..., \tau_i$.*

For $(6, 2)$ heterogeneous DSS (as shown in Figure 1), the surviving sets of each node are listed in Table I.

TABLE I

SURVIVING SETS FOR NODES OF $(6, 2)$ HETEROGENEOUS DSS CONSIDERED IN FIGURE 1.

Nodes U_i	All possible surviving sets $S_i^{(\ell_i)}$	# surviving sets τ_i
U_1	$S_1^{(1)} = \{U_4, U_6\}, S_1^{(2)} = \{U_2, U_6\}.$	2
U_2	$S_2^{(1)} = \{U_1, U_3\}, S_2^{(2)} = \{U_1, U_5\},$ $S_2^{(3)} = \{U_4, U_3\}, S_2^{(4)} = \{U_4, U_5\}.$	4
U_3	$S_3^{(1)} = \{U_2, U_4\}, S_3^{(2)} = \{U_2, U_6\},$ $S_3^{(3)} = \{U_5, U_4\}, S_3^{(4)} = \{U_5, U_6\}.$	4
U_4	$S_4^{(1)} = \{U_1, U_3, U_5\}, S_4^{(2)} = \{U_2, U_3, U_5\},$ $S_4^{(3)} = \{U_1, U_6, U_5\}, S_4^{(4)} = \{U_2, U_6, U_5\}.$	4
U_5	$S_5^{(1)} = \{U_2, U_4\}, S_5^{(2)} = \{U_3, U_4\}.$	2
U_6	$S_6^{(1)} = \{U_3, U_1\}, S_6^{(2)} = \{U_4, U_1\}.$	2

Similar to the parameters of the regenerating codes for homogeneous systems [5], we provide the parameters of Heterogeneous Regenerating codes in the next remark.

Remark 2. *For a (n,k) heterogeneous DSS, regenerating codes over a field $\mathbb{F}_q$ are described by the parameters $[n,\ k,\ \overline{d},\overline{\alpha},\beta,B]$, where B is the file size, β is the repair traffic, $\overline{d}$ = $[d_{1,i}=d_i]_{1\times n}$ and $\overline{\alpha}$ = $[\alpha_{1,i}=\alpha_i]_{1\times n}$ are one dimensional arrays of repair degree d_i and node storage capacity α_i for node U_i indexed with $i=1,2,\ldots,n$.*

Note that multi-node failure can be assumed as a sequence of single node failure within a small time interval. So the sequence of surviving sets are needed to repair such multi node failure. Formally, the surviving sequence can be defined as follows.

Definition 3. *(Surviving Sequence): For a (n,k) heterogeneous DSS, surviving sequence $\left\langle S_{f_j}^{(\ell_{f_j})}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{n}$ is a sequence of surviving sets picked up randomly one for each node U_{f_j}, where f_j is some permutation on set $\{1,2,...,n\}$ and $\ell_{f_j}\in\left\{1,2,...,\tau_{f_j}\right\}$.*

For some particular surviving sets, a possible surviving sequence $\left\langle S_{f_j}^{(\ell_{f_j})}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{6}$ is $\left\langle S_5^{(1)},S_3^{(1)},S_4^{(2)},S_6^{(2)},S_1^{(1)},S_2^{(2)}\right\rangle$ associated with failed nodes U_5, U_3, U_4, U_6, U_1 and U_2 in $(6,2)$ heterogeneous DSS (see Table I).

In [9], heterogeneous DSS is mapped with acyclic directed graph called information flow graph. Analyzing *min-cut* of the information flow graph, a fundamental bound on file size B is computed for such (n,k) heterogeneous DSS. The bound is described in following theorem.

Theorem 4 (Fundamental Bound)**.** *For a (n,k) heterogeneous DSS, the file size B must satisfy the following inequality*

$$B\leq \min_{\left\langle S_{f_j}^{(\ell_{f_j})}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{n}\in\mathscr{T}}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{k}\min\left\{\alpha_{f_j},\left|S_{f_j}^{(\ell_{f_j})}\setminus\left(\bigcup_{\lambda=0}^{j-1}\{U_{f_\lambda}\}\right)\right|\beta\right\}\right\},$$

where $\{U_{f_0}\}=\phi,\ 0\leq\lambda<j\leq k,\ S_{f_j}^{(\ell_{f_j})}\in\left\langle S_{f_j}^{(\ell_{f_j})}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{n}$, $\mathscr{T}$ is the set of all surviving sequences $\left\langle S_{f_j}^{(\ell_{f_j})}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{n}$ with length n and $\ell_{f_j}\in\left\{1,2,...,\tau_{f_j}\right\}$.

In [9], it is shown that there exist code which achieves the fundamental bound for such (n,k) heterogeneous DSS. Hence, one can get the optimal codes by reducing parameters which meets the fundamental bound. In the next section, parameters for the optimal codes are computed by minimizing node storage capacity and repair bandwidth.

III. CONDITIONS FOR OPTIMALITY

Consider a (n,k) heterogeneous DSS with τ_i number of surviving sets $S_i^{(\ell_i)}$ and repair degree $\left|S_i^{(\ell_i)}\right| = d_i$ ($\ell_i = 1,2,\ldots,\tau_i$ and $i = 1,2,\ldots,n$). If $\alpha_i > \left|S_i^{(\ell_i)}\right|\beta$ then the failed node U_i can not be repaired so $\alpha_i \leq \left|S_i^{(\ell_i)}\right|\beta$ for each i and ℓ_i. For optimality, $\alpha_i = d_i\beta$. Hence for constant repair traffic β, node storage capacity α_i and repair degree d_i are proportional to each other. Consider $c_i \in (0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i = 1$ and $\frac{c_i}{\alpha_i} = \frac{c_j}{\alpha_j}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Hence, $\alpha_i = c_i \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = c_i\alpha^*$ $(i = 1,2,\ldots,n)$. So, the parameters α_i and c_i are proportional to each other. Again, k is reconstruct degree so, $B \leq \sum_{i\in\mathcal{K}} \alpha_i = \sum_{i\in\mathcal{K}} c_i\alpha^*$ for any arbitrary set $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1,2,...,n\}$ such that $|\mathcal{K}| = k$. Hence, $B \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i\alpha^*$ for $c_1 \leq c_2 \leq ... \leq c_n$. For optimum case, one can reduce α^* up to α^*_{min} such that

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i\alpha^*_{min} \Rightarrow \alpha^*_{min} = B\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j\right)^{-1}, \tag{1}$$

Similarly for a fixed proportional factor α^*_{min}, one can minimize the repair traffic β such that Bound 4 holds with equality. For a specific surviving sequence $\left\langle S_{f_j}^{(\ell_{f_j})}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{n}$ with sufficient large repair traffic β, the inequality $\alpha_{f_m} \leq \left|S_{f_m}^{(\ell_{f_m})} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{\lambda=0}^{m-1}\{U_{f_\lambda}\}\right)\right|\beta$ holds for each $m = 1,2,\ldots,k$. If we choose $\beta = \beta_{min}$ such that

$$\beta_{min} = \max_{\left\langle S_{f_j}^{(\ell_{f_j})}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{n} \in \mathscr{T}} \left\{ \max_{1\leq m\leq k} \left\{ \alpha_{f_m} \left| S_{f_m}^{(\ell_{f_m})} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{\lambda=0}^{m-1}\{U_{f_\lambda}\}\right)\right|^{-1} \right\} \right\} \tag{2}$$

then β_{min} is the minimum value of repair traffic β which ensures $\left|S_{f_m}^{(\ell_{f_m})} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{\lambda=0}^{m-1}\{U_{f_\lambda}\}\right)\right|\beta_{min} \geq \alpha_{f_m}$ for each f_m of an arbitrary surviving sequence.

Formally the results can be summarized by the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *Consider a (n,k) heterogeneous DSS with given surviving sets $S_i^{(\ell_i)}$ ($i = 1,2,...,n$; $\ell_i = 1,2,...,\tau_i$ for some $\tau_i \in \mathbb{Z}$). A family of codes with $\alpha_i = c_i\alpha_{min} = d_i\beta_{min}$ and $\beta = \beta_{min}$, achieves the Fundamental Bound 4, where α_{min} and β_{min} can be calculated by Equations (1) and (2).*

Next section presents a construction of an optimal family of regenerating code based on graph.

IV. FAMILY OF OPTIMAL CODES

Graph $\mathscr{H}(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{E})$ is representation of vertex set $\mathcal{V}$ and edge set $\mathcal{E}$ such that $\mathcal{E} = \{E : |E| = 2 \text{ and } E \subset \mathcal{V}\}$. For a graph $\mathscr{H}(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{E})$, degree of vertex $v_i \in \mathcal{V}$ (denoted by $deg(v_i)$) is the total number of edges $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $v_i \in E$. An edge $E \in \mathcal{E}$ is called loop if $E = \{v_i, v_i\}$ for some $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. Similarly, two edges E_l, $E_m \in \mathcal{E}$ are called parallel edges if $E_l = E_m$ ($l \neq m$). A graph $\mathscr{H}(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{E})$ is called simple if the graph does not have loop or parallel edges. Two vertices v_i and v_j are adjacent if



Fig. 2. Relation between graph $\mathscr{H}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ and $(4, 2)$ heterogeneous DSS is illustrated, where $\mathcal{V}$=$\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ = $\{\{v_1, v_3\}, \{v_1, v_4\}, \{v_2, v_3\}, \ \{v_2, v_4\}, \{v_3, v_4\}\}$.

$\exists\ E \in \mathcal{E}\ s.t.\ E = \{v_i, v_j\}$. For two arbitrary vertices v_i and v_j, if there exist a sequence of vertices having v_i and v_j such that arbitrary two consecutive vertices in the sequence are adjacent vertices then the particular graph $\mathscr{H}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ is called connected graph.

For vertex set $\mathcal{V}$ and edge set $\mathcal{E}$, let $\mathscr{H}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ be a simple connected graph such that

1) $2 \leq deg(v_i) \leq deg(v_j)$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and
2) $\{v_i, v_j\} \notin \mathcal{E}$, for each i, j $(1 \leq i < j \leq k)$.

Distribute all $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i$ encoded packets among all nodes U_i $(i = 1, 2, ..., n)$ $i.e$ α_i = $|U_i|$ = $deg(v_i)$. The particular distribution can be done into following two parts.

1) Randomly distribute B encoded packets among k nodes say U_l $(l = 1, 2, \ldots, k)$.
2) Distribute the remaining $\sum_{p=k+1}^{n} \alpha_p$ packets on left $n-k$ nodes U_j $(j = k+1, k+2, \ldots, n)$ such that the particular packet stored on node U_j is the linear combination of packets stored in nodes U_m, where m is a positive integer such that $\{v_j, v_m\} \in \mathcal{E}$ and $m < j$. The linear combinations are taken such a way that any two packets stored in same node are linearly independent.

Observe that $S_i^{(1)} \subseteq \{U_m : \forall\ \{v_i, v_m\} \in \mathcal{E}\}$. Consider a simple case β = 1 and $S_i^{(1)}$ = $\{U_m : \{v_i, v_m\} \in \mathcal{E}\}$. In this case, $deg(v_i)$ = α_i = d_i = $|S_i^{(1)}|$, τ_i = 1 and $B = \sum_{i=1}^{k} deg(v_i)$. Observe that $deg(v_i)$ and c_i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ are proportional to each other.

For example, consider a simple connected graph $\mathscr{H}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ with degree sequence 2, 2, 3, 3 as shown in Figure 2. Suppose, 4 $\left(= B = \sum_{i=1}^{2} deg(v_i)\right)$ packets are encoded into 10 $\left(= \sum_{i=1}^{4} deg(v_i)\right)$ packets such that y_i = x_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and y_j = $f_{j-4}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ for $j = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$. In particular, $f_{j-4}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ is linear function of x_1, x_2, x_3 and x_4 on $\mathbb{F}_q$. For α_i = d_i = $deg(v_i)$, one can choose y_5 = x_2, y_6 = $x_2 + x_4$, y_7 = $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4$, y_8 = x_1, y_9 = $x_1 + x_3$ and y_{10} = $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4$. For the respective $(4, 2)$ heterogeneous DSS, packets y_j $(j = 1, 2, ..., 10)$ are distributed among 4 $(= n)$ nodes (see Figure 2). Observe that $S_1^{(1)}$ = $\{U_3, U_4\}$, $S_2^{(1)}$ = $\{U_3, U_4\}$, $S_3^{(1)}$ = $\{U_1, U_2, U_4\}$ and $S_4^{(1)}$

= $\{U_1, U_2, U_3\}$ and $\beta_{min} = 1$. Hence, the graphical construction can be summarized by the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *Consider a simple connected graph $\mathscr{H}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ with $2 \leq deg(v_m) \leq deg(v_j)$ (for $1 \leq m < j \leq n$) such that two arbitrary vertices from $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$ are not adjacent through an edge. A ($n = |\mathcal{V}|, k = |\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}|$) heterogeneous DSS associated with the graph $\mathscr{H}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, achieves the Fundamental Bound 4, where the node storage capacity α_i and repair degree d_i are each $deg(v_i)$ and repair traffic β is* 1.

V. Conclusion

Motivated by the real world applications we considered heterogeneous DSSs with dynamic repair degree and node storage capacity. A graphical construction framework is used to construct a family of optimal regenerating codes meeting heterogeneous DSS fundamental bound on file size.

References

[1] A. Dimakis, P. Godfrey, M. Wainwright, and K. Ramchandran, "Network coding for distributed storage systems," in *INFOCOM 2007. 26th IEEE International Conference on Computer Communications. IEEE*, May 2007, pp. 2000 –2008.

[2] A. Dimakis, K. Ramchandran, Y. Wu, and C. Suh, "A survey on network codes for distributed storage," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 99, no. 3, pp. 476 –489, march 2011.

[3] S. Pawar, N. Noorshams, S. El Rouayheb, and K. Ramchandran, "Dress codes for the storage cloud: Simple randomized constructions," in *Information Theory Proceedings (ISIT), 2011 IEEE International Symposium on*, 31 2011-aug. 5 2011, pp. 2338 –2342.

[4] M. K. Gupta, A. Agrawal, and D. Yadav, "On weak dress codes for cloud storage," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1302.3681, 2013.

[5] A. Dimakis, P. Godfrey, Y. Wu, M. Wainwright, and K. Ramchandran, "Network coding for distributed storage systems," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 56, no. 9, pp. 4539–4551, Sept 2010.

[6] N. Silberstein, A. Rawat, and S. Vishwanath, "Error-correcting regenerating and locally repairable codes via rank-metric codes," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 61, no. 11, pp. 5765–5778, Nov 2015.

[7] K. G. Benerjee and M. K. Gupta, "On dress codes with flowers," *Signal Design and Its Applications in Communications, The Seventh International Workshop on*, pp. 108–112, Sept. 2015.

[8] ——, "Tradeoff for heterogeneous distributed storage systems between storage and repair cost," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1503.02276, 2015.

[9] ——, "On heterogeneous regenerating codes and capacity of distributed storage systems," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1402.3801, 2014.